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Abstract

OpenCL is fast becoming the preferred framework used to make programs for heterogeneous platforms consisting of at least one CPU and one or more accelerators. The GPU being readily available in almost all computers, it is the most common accelerator in use.

Good libraries are important to reduce development time and to make particular development environments, such as OpenCL, useful for the masses. All OpenCL programs can execute on any device that have support for it, however to achieve optimal performance, a OpenCL program must be optimized for a specific device.

Auto-tuning is a strategy to automatically generate and find a good performing program for a specific device, without requiring the user to perform optimizations manually.

BLAS contains routines that are useful for many algorithms suited for GPUs, and is a good candidate for a library that can prove useful for many OpenCL programmers.

We have chosen, in this thesis, to implement the matrix multiplication routine from BLAS as it is important for the performance of many higher-level linear algebra algorithms to have a fast implementation of matrix multiplication. The exact operation we have implemented is \( C = alpha * A * B + beta * C \), were A, B and C are MxK, KxN and MxN matrices respectively.

In this thesis, we implement an auto-tuning framework that generates source code for OpenCL kernels and find the best one for the device it is being executed on.

We compare our version with ViennaCL, a OpenCL BLAS library, and the vendor provided BLAS libraries provided by AMD and NVIDIA. Our
version provides approximately 85% of the performance of the vendor specific library provided by NVIDIA, in general, and gives a speedup over the native library provided by AMD. This speedup is usually between 1.5 and 2. On both platforms our version outperforms ViennaCL by a large margin.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

OpenCL is becoming the preferred framework used to create programs that execute on heterogeneous platforms. A heterogeneous platform consists of at least one CPU and one accelerator. GPUs are the most common and easily available accelerator.

For a framework such as OpenCL to be useful to a large number of people, it is necessary to have libraries available to perform commonly used functions while achieving good performance across all devices used. All programs written in OpenCL can run on all devices that support OpenCL, but to get good performance, they must be optimized for the specific devices. This makes creating such libraries a difficult and time consuming task.

Auto-tuning is a strategy that have been used with success in the past on CPUs, and several studies suggest that it would work well on GPUs as well.

BLAS is one such library that provide functionality that is heavily used in many algorithms that are well suited for the GPU. Having a good performing BLAS library implemented in OpenCL would therefore be an important addition to anyone using OpenCL to program GPUs.

General matrix multiplication is one of the routines in BLAS that is most important to achieve good performance in other higher-level linear algebra algorithms. It if for this reason that we have chosen matrix multiplication as the routine to be implemented in an auto-tuning framework, in this thesis. More specifically, we chose to implement the operation $C = \alpha A \ast B + \ldots$
\( beta \ast C \), where \( A \) is a \( M \times K \) matrix, \( B \) is a \( K \times N \) matrix and \( C \) is a \( M \times N \) matrix. Alpha and beta are scalars.

1.1 Outline

The rest of the thesis is organized as follows:

Chapter 2 contains background information related to this thesis and a brief overview of related work.

Chapter 3 describes our implementation of an auto-tuning framework. It also goes into specific detail on the code generator generating the matrix multiplication kernels and how the framework searches for the best solution.

Chapter 4 contains benchmarks and results from executing the kernel chosen by the auto-tuning framework on different GPUs.

Chapter 5 concludes the thesis and presents future work.

Appendix A presents the source code selected by the auto-tuning framework for the different GPUs.
Chapter 2

Background

This chapter presents background information and previous work. More specifically, we start off by describing what is meant by an auto-tuning system and what components it consists of. Then we present BLAS and introduce OpenCL and the abstractions and models used within that framework, before we describe the graphics processing unit (GPU) architecture of the two major vendors, AMD and NVIDIA, and the characteristics of these that affect performance. Finally, we present some of the previous work that is related to this thesis.

2.1 Auto-tuning System

An auto-tuning system, or a system for automated empirical optimization of software (AEOS) as it is called in [1], consists of three main parts[1]:

1. A method of adapting software to differing environments
2. Robust, context-sensitive timers
3. Appropriate search heuristic

Auto-tuning as a strategy for finding good ways to perform an operation for a particular architecture relies on being able to perform the particular
operation in many different ways. There are mainly two different ways of providing this.

The first and simplest way is to have a fixed code or algorithm with parameters in it. By changing these parameters different versions of the code is generated. The other way is to have a highly parameterized code generator that can generate a vast number of ways to perform the same operation.

A timer is needed to find the best code version. A particular code version is chosen for a particular architecture by executing the different code versions on that architecture, measuring their execution time and choosing the best one. As the load of the machine can vary at any given time, the timers must be robust enough to not be affected and produce correct timings irrespective of machine load.

Dependent on how many possible code variations there are, searching through all of them can be time consuming. The job of the search heuristic is to search through the different code versions and find the best one without taking too much time. The more possible code versions, the more important it is for the search heuristic to be able to prune the search tree quickly.

2.2 Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms

Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms (BLAS) is an application programming interface (API) for linear algebra libraries[2]. The functionality provided by BLAS is separated into three levels. BLAS level 1, contains functions to perform scalar, vector and vector-vector operations[3]. The level 2 BLAS provides functions for matrix-vector operations and level 3 supplies functions for matrix-matrix operations.

The reference implementation for BLAS is available as a free download at [4]. In addition to the reference implementation, several vendor specific implementations are available. See [3] for a list of some of them.
2.3 Open Computing Language

The Open Computing Language (OpenCL) is a framework for developing programs that perform general-purpose computations on all available processors and accelerators in a heterogeneous platform[5]. It was originally developed by Apple and was later made into a proposal in cooperation with AMD, IBM, Intel, and Nvidia before it was submitted to the Khronos Group. On November 18, 2008, the specification for OpenCL 1.0 was released. Version 1.1 of the OpenCL specification was released on June 14, 2020 and added features for added flexibility for the programmer and increased performance.

The OpenCL framework consists of the OpenCL C Language Specification and the OpenCL Runtime API Specification[6]. The OpenCL Specification[7] use a collection of four models to describe the underlying ideas of OpenCL. These models are the platform model, the memory model, the execution model and the programming model.

2.3.1 The Platform Model

The platform model is comprised of a host that have one or more devices connected to it. The host executes the application and issues commands to the connected devices to perform computations on them.

A device is further split into one or more compute units and the compute units are split into processing elements, see Figure 2.1. It is the processing elements that perform the actual computations that the host have requested.

2.3.2 The Memory Model

The memory available to work-items executing a kernel\(^1\) on a OpenCL device is divided into four separate memory spaces. These are global, constant, local and private memory. In addition there are OpenCL images, which reside in global memory, but have some special properties that regular global memory buffers do not. See Figure 2.2 for a conceptual diagram of the OpenCL device.

\(^1\)An OpenCL kernel is a function that is executed on a OpenCL device.
architecture and how the different memory spaces are related to the platform model.

It is the host that allocates global memory on the device by creating memory objects. Once created, the host can issue commands to the device to perform various operations on these memory objects. For instance, it can issue a command to copy data from the host memory to the memory object in global memory on the device.

**Global Memory**

All global memory is available to all work-items on a device and both reading and writing is supported. If it is supported by the device, reads and writes to global memory are cached.

OpenCL images are a special type of global memory buffers. Unlike regular global memory buffers, which store their elements sequentially, images store their elements in a format that is hidden from the user. Therefore, reads and writes to images must be done by using the built-in functions supplied by OpenCL for this purpose.
Figure 2.2: Conceptual Diagram of OpenCL Architecture and How the Memory Model Relates to it[7].

**Constant Memory**

Constant memory is located in a region of global memory and can as a result be read by all work-items executing a kernel on a device. It have the special property that is does not change during the execution of a kernel. Thus, reads from constant memory can be optimized for quick access on devices that support it. For instance through a special constant memory cache.

As constant memory can only be read by the work-items on a device, it is both allocated and initialized by the host.

**Local Memory**

Local memory can either be a separate dedicated memory region on the device or it can be located in a region of global memory. Local memory is only accessible to work-items within a work-group. Thus, local memory is a good candidate for data that is shared among work-items in a work-group.
This is especially true on devices where local memory resides in a separate
dedicated memory region, as this memory region is often implemented by
memory chips with lower access times than those used for global memory[8].

Private Memory

Private memory is a region of memory that is accessible by a single work-
item. It is the default memory space used for variables in a kernel when no
other memory space is specified and is usually used for temporary storage of
values that are specific only to one work-item.

Memory Consistency

According to the OpenCL Specification[7], the OpenCL memory model have
a relaxed consistency. This means that there is no guarantee that the memory
state is consistent for all work-items at all times. As a result, if two work-
items reads the same location in memory at the same time, the value read
by the first work-item can be different from the value read by the second.

The memory consistency guarantees given by OpenCL are:

- Global and local memory is consistent for all work-items within a work-
group at a barrier
- Memory load and store consistency within a work-item

2.3.3 The Execution Model

The OpenCL execution model divides the execution of an OpenCL program
in two. There is the part of the program that executes on the host and there
is the part that executes on a device. The part that executes on the host is
no different from any other program and follows the execution model that is
used on the host architecture.

To submit a kernel for execution on a device the host must create an
OpenCL context by using functions provided by the OpenCL Runtime API.
An OpenCL context is an object that contains the resources needed to exe-
cute a kernel. These resources being the devices the host intends to execute
kernels on, the kernels to be execute, the program object that contains the source code and executable for the kernels and the memory buffers needed by the kernels.

When the host have created the context, it must create an OpenCL command-queue that is used to schedule kernels for execution and other commands to a device.

An index space, called an NDRange, is defined when the host submits a kernel for execution on a device. For each point in this index space, one instance of the kernel is executed. Each such instance is called a work-item and is uniquely identifiable by its index. The index space is further divided into a more coarser index space. Each point in this coarser index space is called a work-group and each work-group is a collection of work-items from the larger index space. The work-groups are uniquely identifiable by their index in the coarse-grained index space and each work-item within a work-group is also uniquely identifiable within the work-group. See Figure 2.3.

![Figure 2.3: An NDRange index space divided into work-groups and work-items[7].](image-url)
2.3.4 The Programming Model

There are two types of programming models that are supported by OpenCL. These are, the data parallel and task parallel programming models. In addition any hybrid of these are also supported.

In the data parallel programming model, a series of computations is defined and is then performed on several elements in memory. How the mapping of memory elements to work-items is done is defined by the index space that is generated when a kernel is scheduled for execution.

The task parallel programming model is the equivalent of the data parallel programming model with an index space with one work-group and one work-item. Only one instance of a kernel is executed on a device and parallelism is achieved by using vector datatypes and by scheduling several tasks at the same time.

2.3.5 The OpenCL C Programming Language

OpenCL kernels are written in the OpenCL C programming language. The OpenCL C programming language is based on the ISO/IEC 9899:1999 C language specification[7], also known as C99.

The features that where removed from C99 are[9]:

- Standard headers
- Function pointers
- Recursion
- Variable length arrays
- Bit fields

The features that where added are[9]:

- Vector types
- Synchronization
• Address space qualifiers

• Many built in functions (e.g. work-item manipulation, math)

2.4 AMD Architecture

The first graphics processing units (GPUs) of AMDs Evergreen architecture was released in the fall of 2009 and the rest followed with the last being released in February 2010[10].

A GPU consists of several compute units (also called SIMD Engines) and each compute unit comprise 16 stream cores, which consists of five processing elements, depending of the GPU model²[11]. See Figure 2.4 for a diagram of the GPU architecture and Figure 2.5 for a diagram of a stream core. At each cycle, every stream core within a compute unit perform the same instruction in a lock-step fashion. These instructions are issued to the processing elements by one very long instruction word (VLIW).

All of the processing elements can perform single-precision floating point operations and the fifth processing element in a stream core can also execute transcendental operations³. To perform double-precision operations, two or four of the non-transcendental operations capable processing elements are combined.

Every compute unit have 32 kB of local, on-chip memory called local data share (LDS) and a 8 kB L1 cache. L2 cache is shared by several compute units. The local data share is divided into 32 memory banks⁴, that are four bytes wide and 256 bytes deep. One memory operation can be performed for each bank each cycle, but if more than one operation maps to the same memory bank, a bank conflict occurs and the operations are serialized.

A compute unit also have 256 kB of registers available. The register space comprise 16384 general purpose registers, where one register contains four 32-bit values.

²The low-end GPUs have only four.
³E.g. sqrt, log, sin, cos
⁴The lower-end GPUs only have 16 memory banks.
The first GPUs of AMDs Northern Island architecture were released in October 2010[14]. The remainder of the GPUs from this series were released toward the end of the year and in the spring of 2011, with the last one being released in April.

The low and mid-end devices kept the 5-way VLIW of the Evergreen architecture, but the high-end devices switched to a 4-way VLIW instead as studies showed that the usage rate of the processing elements were only 3.4 in games[13]. In the 4-way VLIW design, the processing element capable of computing transcendental functions were removed and its functionality distributed to the remaining four processing elements. The memory cache and local data share stayed the same as for the Evergreen architecture. For a diagram over the Northern Island architecture, see Figure 2.6. Figure 2.7

Figure 2.4: Diagram of the AMD Evergreen GPU Architecture[12].
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show a diagram of a Northern Island stream core.

2.4.1 OpenCL on AMD GPUs

When work-items are executed on a GPU they are divided into groups of 64 work-items called wavefronts\(^5\) that runs in lockstep on a compute unit. Every work-group is divided into an integer number of wavefronts and to achieve optimal performance, the number of work-items within a work-group should be divisible with the wavefront size.

As a kernel is being executed, a work-group is assigned to a single compute unit and a work-item runs on a stream-core. Four work-items from the wavefront being executed are pipelined on one stream core to hide memory latencies. At each cycle, 16 of the work-items in a wavefront execute one instruction. When a wavefront is looked at as a whole, this give the appearance that one instruction is executed every four cycles. If the execution paths of

\(^5\)A wavefront is 32 work-items on low-end GPUs.
work-items within a wavefront diverges, their execution are serialized.

Private memory usage in kernels is mapped to the general purpose registers as much as possible. If not enough registers are available, the compiler will generate spill code and remaining private memory need is placed in global memory.

It is the local data share that is used for OpenCL local memory. Ensuring that all memory banks are used and that there are no bank conflicts, are the most important aspects with regards to achieving optimal performance.

To achieve good performance with global memory, it is important to ensure that memory reads are coalesced. Coalesced reads occur when consecutive work-items in a wavefront read consecutive elements from memory. Coalesced writes can also give better write performance, however, this is of less importance as the difference is quite small[11].
2.5 NVIDIA Architecture

The first GPUs of NVIDIA’s Fermi architecture were released in April of 2010, with the rest following throughout the spring and fall[15].

A GPU consists of several graphics processing clusters (GPC). Each graphics processing cluster is made up of four streaming multiprocessors, which comprise 32 cores[16]. See Figure 2.8. In addition to the 32 cores, each streaming multiprocessor also contains four special function units that can perform transcendental functions and 16 load and store units enabling a streaming multiprocessor to calculate 16 source and destination memory addresses per clock cycle. Each core contains a fully pipelined integer arithmetic logic unit and a floating point unit. See Figure 2.9.

All streaming multiprocessors have 32768 32-bit registers and 64kB of on-chip memory[17]. The on-chip memory can be configured as 16kB of shared memory and 48kB of L1 cache or as 48kB of shared memory and 16kB of L1 cache. Additionally, all the streaming multiprocessors share 768kB of L2 cache.

NVIDIA released the first GPU of an updated Fermi architecture in
Figure 2.8: Diagram of the NVIDIA Fermi GPU Architecture[16].

November of 2010. The rest of the GPUs in this line of GPUs were released in the following months and the last ones being released in May of 2011[18].

This updated Fermi architecture were a notable update, with respects to performance and power management, compared to the original. Each streaming multiprocessor consists of up to 48 cores, each able to perform up to two floating point operations per clock cycle. Every streaming multiprocessor also contains up to eight special function units, each capable of up to four operations per clock cycle.

2.5.1 Compute Unified Device Architecture

The Compute Unified Device Architecture (CUDA) is NVIDIA's hardware and software architecture that makes it possible for NVIDIA GPUs to execute programs that are not graphics programs[17]. CUDA provides both a low-level and a high-level API to interface with the GPU, as well as the C for
CUDA programming language to write kernels to be executed on a GPU[19].

A kernel written in C for CUDA is executed on a NVIDIA GPU by a set of threads. The threads are divided into groups, called thread blocks, and the thread blocks are organized in a grid.

A thread block executes on a single streaming multiprocessor and a thread runs on a core. All threads within a grid execute the same kernel.

When a thread block is scheduled for execution, its threads are divided into groups of 32 threads, called a warp, that execute the kernel concurrently.

### 2.5.2 OpenCL on NVIDIA GPUs

The CUDA architecture and the OpenCL architecture are quite similar[20]. A streaming multiprocessor equates an OpenCL compute unit and a CUDA thread corresponds with an OpenCL work-item. Also, a CUDA thread block matches an OpenCL work-group.

When work-items are executed on a GPU, they are, as threads are in CUDA, divided into warps. Each work-group contains an integer number of warps. A work-group runs on a streaming multiprocessor and a work-item runs on a single core. All work-items within a warp execute the same instruction in lockstep. If the code path of work-items within a warp diverges, they are serialized. The number of work-items within a work-group should be divisible by the warp size for optimal performance.

OpenCL private memory maps to registers on the GPU. If a work-item needs more registers than is available, spill code is generated by the compiler and the extra memory needed is placed in a region of global memory.

Local memory in OpenCL maps to shared memory on the GPU. Avoiding bank conflicts is the key to achieving optimal performance when using local memory.

When work-items within a warp access global memory, the memory access is coalesced into as few memory transactions as possible. How many transactions that is issued is dependent on the size of the elements accessed and the memory access pattern of the work-items.
2.6 Previous Work

In GATLAS[21], they implement the BLAS routines gemm, gemv and saxpy in OpenCL. They use auto-tuning to find optimum kernels for AMD GPUs. [22] presents gemm kernels for AMD GPUs. These kernels are implemented in IL, the native, low-level, assembly-like language for programming AMD GPUs. In [23], they improve their previous gemm implementation in CUDA C and optimize it for the Fermi architecture. They do this by adding an additional level of blocking. Namely, they use register blocking in addition to shared memory blocking. [24] optimize a gemm kernel implemented in CUDA C for the Fermi architecture by using auto-tuning.
Figure 2.9: Diagram of a Fermi streaming multiprocessor and core[16].
Chapter 3

Implementation

In this chapter, we present the details of our implementation. First, we describe our auto-tuning framework in general. Then, we describe our code generator. Finally, we describe our search for the best kernel.

3.1 The Framework

Our auto-tuning framework were implemented in C++ and consisted of five classes in addition to the main function and some support functions related to initializing OpenCL and querying the system. See Figure 3.1 for a class diagram of the framework.

The Device class acted as an abstraction for an OpenCL device. It held all the information OpenCL provides for a device and methods to fetch them. The purpose of the class were to be used later to guide the code generator and by the auto-tuner, so that it would know which device to execute the kernels on. Additionally, it also contained functionality to output the device information for debugging purposes.

As the Device class were an abstraction for an OpenCL device, the Platform class were an abstraction for an OpenCL platform. It held the information provided by OpenCL for the platform and methods to retrieve that information. In addition, it also contained a list of the devices associated with it. The main purpose of the class were to hold the information about
the OpenCL platforms and associated devices in the initial stages of the execution of the application, to allow the user to choose which device from which platform to generate kernels for.

The Kernel class was a wrapper for the kernels generated by the code generator. It contained the source code for a kernel that had been generated by the code generator, as well as the information required to execute the kernel on a device and methods to get this information when needed. More specifically, the information contained in the kernel class were the kernel name, the dimensions of the work-group to be used and the blocking size, needed to calculate the dimensions of the NDRange. Furthermore, the Kernel class contained functionality to output the kernel to a file and information about execution time on a device, used by the search.

The functionality related to the generation of kernels, were located in the Generator class. It contained one entry point method that took a Device object as input and returned a list of Kernel objects. Moreover, it contained the methods and supporting functions that generated the source code for this kernels. The methods that generated source code returned the source code in the form of a string that were then given as input when creating a Kernel object to be returned.
The AutoTuner class contained the functionality to perform the search for the best performing kernel. The search method took a Device object and a list of Kernel objects as input and gave one Kernel object as output. Additionally it contained supporting methods to allocate and initialize memory on the OpenCL device and functionality to reset the device if a kernel should, for some reason, crash or perform an illegal operation. It also contained functionality to test the validity of the output from the kernel for debugging purposes.

The remaining functionality of our framework consisted of functions to query the system for OpenCL platforms and devices and a function to allow the user to choose the device to generate a kernel for, in the event that several platforms and devices existed in the system.

The sequence of steps involved in our auto-tuning framework were the following:

1. Query system for platforms.
2. For all platforms: query for devices.
3. If user have started the program with the flag to choose a device, present the user with available devices. Otherwise, choose first device.
4. Send the device chosen as input to the code generator.
5. Send the list of kernels returned by the code generator as input to the search method.
6. Output the kernel returned by the search method to file.
7. Exit application.

3.2 The Code Generator

The code generator generated source code for five main versions of kernels. The difference between the different versions were the blocking scheme they employed. These versions consisted of:
1. No memory blocking.

2. Both matrix A and B blocked into local memory.

3. Matrix A blocked into shared memory and matrix B blocked into private memory.

4. Both matrix A and B blocked into private memory.

5. Both matrix A and B blocked into local memory. Both matrices blocked further into private memory.

Common to all versions were that the matrix C were divided into blocks in all of them, private memory were used to hold the temporary values before being written back to global memory and loops are unrolled. Each block were calculated by one work-group. Also, the generator assumed a row-major ordering of the matrices.

### 3.2.1 No Memory Blocking

This were the simplest version. The parameters used to generate the different kernel versions of this main version of kernels were the size of the block of the matrix C and the number of work-items to be used by each work-group. The number of work-items used per work-group had to be divisible by the number of elements in the block of the matrix C.

Depending on how many work-items were used to calculate one block of the matrix C, each work-item calculated one or more elements of C. For each element of C calculated, each work-item read one row from A and one column from B. See Figure 3.2 for a schematic of this version. The pseudocode for this version can be seen in Algorithm 1.

### 3.2.2 Both Matrices in Local Memory

The parameters to this version were, in addition to the blocking size and number of work-items to be used per work-group, if the blocks of local memory should be padded, to possibly avoid bank conflicts, and if they should be transposed when read from global to local memory.
Each work-item calculated one or more elements of $C$, depending on how
many work-items there were per block. For each block of matrix $A$ and $B$, 
each work-item read one or more elements from global memory and placed
them in local memory. Care was taken to ensure that reads from global mem-
ory were coalesced. Each work-item then read elements from local memory
to calculate their respective elements of $C$. See Figure 3.3 for a schematic of
this version. Also, the pseudocode for this version can be seen in Algorithm
2.
Algorithm 1 Pseudocode of no memory blocking version.

Find your index in the index space
Move pointers of A, B and C to correct position
\( a_{\text{end}} \leftarrow a + k \)
Allocate private memory for elements of C

repeat
  for all Elements of C do
    \( c_{\text{element}} + = a_{\text{element}} \times b_{\text{element}} \)
  end for
  Increment pointers to A and B
until Pointer to A \( \geq a_{\text{end}} \)
for all Elements of C do
  \( C = alpha \times c_{\text{element}} + beta \times C \)
end for

Algorithm 2 Pseudocode of both matrices blocked in local memory version.

Find your index in the index space
Move pointers of A, B and C to correct position
\( a_{\text{end}} \leftarrow a + k \)
Allocate local memory for block of A and B
Allocate private memory for elements of C

repeat
  Read block of A into local memory
  Read block of B into local memory
  for all Elements of C do
    \( c_{\text{element}} + = a_{\text{element}} \times b_{\text{element}} \)
  end for
  Increment pointers to A and B
until Pointer to A \( \geq a_{\text{end}} \)
for all Elements of C do
  \( C = alpha \times c_{\text{element}} + beta \times C \)
end for
3.2.3 One Matrix in Local Memory and One in Private Memory

For this version, the parameters were blocking size, register blocking size, number of work-items per work-group and if padding were to be used for the local memory.

Here, each work-item calculated one column of the block of the matrix C. Depending on the number of work-items in a work-group and the blocking size used for the matrix A, a work-item read one or more elements from A and placed it in local memory. Also here, care was taken so that reads from global memory were coalesced. Each work-item also read one or more elements from a column of B, depending on the register block size used. See Figure 3.4 for a schematic of this version and Algorithm 3 for the pseudocode.

**Algorithm 3** Pseudocode of one matrix blocked in local memory and one matrix blocked in private memory.

```plaintext
Find your index in the index space
Move pointers of A, B and C to correct position
a_end ← a + k
Allocate local memory for block of A
Allocate private memory for block of B
Allocate private memory for elements of C
repeat
  Read block of A into local memory
  for i = 1 → localmemoryblocksize/privatememoryblocksize do
    Read block of B into private memory
    for all Elements of C do
      c_element+ = a_element * b_element
    end for
    Increment pointer to B
  end for
  Increment pointer to A
until Pointer to A >= a_end
for all Elements of C do
  C = alpha * c_element + beta * C
end for
```
3.2.4 Both Matrices in Private Memory

In this version, the parameters were blocking size, register blocking size and number of work-items per work-group.

Depending on the number of work-items there were per block, each work-item calculated one or more elements of C. Each work-item read the register blocking size number of elements from B into private memory and depending on how many elements of C each work-item calculated, one of more times the register blocking size number of elements from A into private memory. A work-item, then read the register blocking size number of elements from the private memory block of A and B for each element of C it were to compute. Figure 3.5 contains a schematic of this version. See also Algorithm 4 for the pseudocode.

Algorithm 4 Pseudocode of both matrices blocked in private memory.

| Find your index in the index space |
| Move pointers of A, B and C to correct position |
| \( a\_end \leftarrow a + k \) |
| Allocate private memory for blocks of A and B |
| Allocate private memory for elements of C |
| repeat |
| Read block of A into private memory |
| Read block of B into private memory |
| for all Elements of C do |
| \( c\_element+ = a\_element \times b\_element \) |
| end for |
| Increment pointer to A |
| Increment pointer to B |
| until Pointer to A >= a\_end |
| for all Elements of C do |
| \( C = alpha \times c\_element + beta \times C \) |
| end for |
3.2.5 Both Matrices in Local Memory and Private Memory

The parameters for this version were blocking size, register blocking size, number of work-items per work-group, if the blocks of local memory should be padded and if they should be transposed when read from global memory.

Each work-item calculated one or more elements of C, depending on how many work-items there were per block. Also depending on the number of work-items per block, a work-item read one or more elements of A and B from global memory and placed them in local memory. Care was taken to ensure that memory reads from global memory were coalesced. Then each work-item read register blocking size number of elements from the local memory blocks of A and B and placed them in the private memory blocks. Then, a work-item read the register blocking size number of elements from the private memory block of A and B for each element of C it were to compute. See Figure 3.6 for a schematic of this version and Algorithm 5 for the pseudocode.

3.3 The Search

In stead of generating all possible kernels and then prune the search tree afterwards, our code generator used the information about a device that OpenCL provides to minimize the number of kernels generated and to ensure that it only generated valid kernels. This reduced the search space to few enough kernels that a simple linear search were able to find the best kernel in a reasonable amount of time.

When all the kernels had been generated they were passed along to the search function, that compiled the kernel source code into OpenCL programs and created OpenCL kernel objects from them.

Each kernel were executed on the device a number of times, each execution being timed. Then the median time was chosen as the time for the kernel. This was done to ensure that no kernel was excluded for having the misfortune of being executed at the same time as the OpenCL runtime were performing some behind the scenes operation, causing the kernel execution time to be
Algorithm 5: Pseudocode of both matrices blocked in both local memory and private memory.

Find your index in the index space
Move pointers of A, B and C to correct position
\[ a\_end \leftarrow a + k \]
Allocate local memory for block of A and B
Allocate private memory for block of A and B
Allocate private memory for elements of C

**repeat**
Read block of A into local memory
Read block of B into local memory
for \( i = 1 \rightarrow \text{localmemoryblocksize}/\text{privatememoryblocksize} \) do
Read block of B into private memory
for all Elements of C do
   Read block of A into private memory
   Increment pointer to local memory block of A
   \[ c\_element+ = a\_element \times b\_element \]
   end for
   Increment pointer to local memory block of B
end for
Increment pointer to A
Increment pointer to B
**until** Pointer to A >= a_end
for all Elements of C do
\[ C = alpha \times c\_element + beta \times C \]
end for
higher than it normally would have been.

To measure the execution time of a kernel, we used the built in facilities in OpenCL to get profiling information from OpenCL commands. This way we could be sure that we had the most accurate timings of kernel executions that was possible.

See Algorithm 6 for the pseudo code for the search function.

Algorithm 6 Pseudocode for search function.

Create memory buffers for matrix A, B and C
Copy initial data to memory buffers
Create a OpenCL CommandQueue
for all Kernel objects do
    Read kernel source code
    Create OpenCL program
    Compile OpenCL program
    Create OpenCL kernel
    Set kernel arguments
    for i = 1 → 5 do
        Submit kernel to CommandQueue
        Get timing results and add to list for this kernel
    end for
    Get the median timing result from list for this kernel
    if mediantime ≤ currentbesttime then
        Set best kernel to current kernel
    end if
end for
return best kernel
Figure 3.3: Schematic of local memory blocking version. In this reduced version, C is divided into blocks of 4x4 elements, which are computed by 4x2 work-items. Each work-item computes two elements, e.g. the blue and purple. The red blocks in A and B are the blocks that are read into local memory. Each work-item read two elements of A and two elements of B and places them in local memory. Each work-item then reads one row from the local memory block of A and one column of the local memory block of B for each element of C it computes.
Figure 3.4: Schematic of one matrix blocked in local memory and one matrix blocked in private memory. In this reduced version, C is divided into blocks of 4x4 elements, which are computed by 4 work-items. Each work-item computes one column of the block of C, e.g. the blue column. The red block of A is a block that is read into local memory and the green block of B is a block that is read into private memory. Each work-item reads two elements of A and places them in local memory and reads two elements of B and places them in private memory. Each work-item then reads one row from the local memory block of A and the elements in private memory for the block of B for each element of C it computes.
Figure 3.5: Schematic of both matrices blocked in private memory. In this reduced version, C is divided into blocks of 4x4 elements, which are computed by 4x2 work-items. Each work-item computes two elements of C, e.g. the blue and purple. The two red blocks of A and the green block of B are read into private memory. Each work-item then reads the elements of one of the blocks of A from private memory and the elements of the block of B from private memory. The same is done to calculate the other element of C, only the elements of the other block of A is read from private memory instead.
Figure 3.6: Schematic of both matrices blocked in local memory and in private memory. In this reduced version, C is divided into blocks of 4x4 elements, which are computed by 4x2 work-items. Each work-item computes two elements of C, e.g. the blue and purple. The red blocks of A and B are the blocks that are read into local memory. Then each work item read the green blocks of A and B from their local memory block into private memory, before they read the elements of one of the green blocks of A and the green block of B to compute the first element of C. The same is repeated for the second element, only the second green block of A is read instead.
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Benchmarks and Results

In this chapter, we test our program on different GPUs. We start with presenting the different system configurations that we performed the tests on. Finally, we present the results from running the generated kernels on different matrix sizes.

4.1 System Configurations

We have run our program on three different GPUs, AMD HD5750, AMD HD5870, and NVIDIA C2070. The configuration for these three systems can be seen in Table 4.1, Table 4.2, and Table 4.3 respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Processor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating System</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: Table describing the system with the AMD HD5750 GPU.
System 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processor</th>
<th>Intel core i5 @ 2.67GHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>4GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPU</td>
<td>AMD HD5870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver version</td>
<td>8.821.0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating System</td>
<td>Windows 7 (64bit)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Table describing the system with the AMD HD5870 GPU.

System 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processor</th>
<th>Intel core i7 @ 3.2GHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>24GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPU</td>
<td>NVIDIA C2070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver version</td>
<td>CUDA Toolkit 3.2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating System</td>
<td>Ubuntu 10.04 LTS (64bit)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3: Table describing the system with the NVIDIA C2070 GPU.

4.2 Benchmarks

We ran our program on the three systems described in Section 4.1 and executed the kernel that was output with different matrix sizes containing floats as input.

For comparison with the results from our program, we have used a few different libraries. The first used for comparison were ViennaCL[25]. ViennaCL is a full implementation of the BLAS API in OpenCL, capable of running on all OpenCL devices.

The second library we used for comparison were the native library supplied from the vendor of the respective GPU. For the AMD GPUs this were ACML-GPU[26] and for the NVIDIA GPU this were CUBLAS[27].

As we were unable to compile the ACML-GPU on the system with the AMD HD5870 GPU, results from this library is not provided. The ACML-GPU is only supported with 64-bit operating systems and although the system with the AMD HD5870 GPU were running Windows 7 64-bit edition, there was some 32-bit/64-bit compatibility issues when we tried to compile.
the library. We were never able to resolve this issue in the allotted time.

As you can see from Figure 4.1, our program achieved a speedup of between 1.09 and 14.67 over ACML-GPU. The lowest speed up were achieved at the matrix size of 2048, where the ACML-GPU had its peek and our generated version had a low-point. For the other matrix sizes the speedup were roughly between 1.5 and 2. Our version also performed considerably better than ViennaCL.

Figure 4.2 gives the result of running our generated version and ViennaCL on the AMD HD5870 GPU. From looking at the pattern of the graph for our version, one can see that it showed similar characteristics to the one in Figure 4.1.

As can be seen from Figure 4.3, our generated kernel showed different characteristics on the NVIDIA GPU than it did on the GPUs from AMD. It quickly came up to a performance of approximately 260GFLOPS. Compared to CUBLAS, our generated kernel had between 60% and 97% of the performance. Our kernel had 60% of CUBLAS’ performance at the matrix size of 2048.
size when CUBLAS had a spike and had 97% of CUBLAS’ performance with the matrix size of 512. For the rest of the matrix sizes though, our generated kernel performed at approximately 85% of CUBLAS. Also on the NVIDIA GPU, our generated kernel performed considerably better than ViennaCL.

The time it took to generate and find the best kernels varied among the different GPUs. See Table 4.4.

Table 4.4: Table listing the time it took to generate and search for the best kernel on the different systems.
Figure 4.3: Graph of executions with different matrix sizes on the NVIDIA C2070 GPU.
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Conclusion

We have, in this thesis, implemented an auto-tuning framework that generates source code for OpenCL kernels, intended to be executed on a GPU. We use information about the GPU intended to run the kernels generated that is provided by OpenCL to guide the code generator in its choice of parameter values. When the kernels have been generated our auto-tuning framework searches for the best kernel and outputs it to file.

Our code generator is able to generate kernels that uses a vast number of blocking schemes and several blocking sizes. Along with differing number of work-items and arrangement of work-items, this gives enough different possible kernel variants that it should be able to find good performing kernels for most GPUs.

As can be seen from Section 4.2, the performance of the kernels chosen by our auto-tuning framework vary depending on which vendors GPUs our program is run on. Compared to the native libraries from AMD and NVIDIA our auto-tuning framework performs better on AMD GPUs than it does on GPUs from NVIDIA.

The reasons for this can be one of several. It could be that our generator as it is now, generates kernels that map better to the AMD GPU architecture than it does the NVIDIA GPU architecture. It could also be that as NVIDIA have had GPUs capable of executing programs other than graphics programs for longer than AMD have, the native libraries supplied by NVIDIA
is more mature than the ones supplied by AMD, making it easier to achieve
good performance compared to the AMD library than it is compared to the
NVIDIA library. It could also be some sort of combination of the two.

Table 4.4 shows the time it took to generate and find the best kernel on
the different GPUs. As you can see, the time it took on the NVIDIA GPU
vastly exceeds the time it took on the AMD GPUs. This is due to some
strange behavior that we observed when running our auto-tuning framework
on the NVIDIA GPU. For some reason, when trying to compile some of
the kernels on the NVIDIA GPU the compiler fails and returns an undefined
error code\(^1\). Whenever this undefined error occur, the compilation stage of the
search method takes considerably more time than it does when the kernels
compiles without error.

This error occurs for a large number of kernels and accounts for most
of the time difference between the AMD and NVIDIA GPUs for generating
and finding the best kernel. As all the kernels that does not compile on the
NVIDIA GPU compiles and execute without problems on the AMD GPUs,
we believe that this is a bug in NVIDIAs current OpenCL compiler. However,
further investigations are needed.

Our goal for this thesis were to create an auto-tuning framework that gen-
erated OpenCL kernels that performed matrix multiplication on GPUs and
had good performance on different GPUs. As we achieve better performance
than the currently available OpenCL BLAS library and also what we think
is good performance compared to he native vendor supplied BLAS libraries,
we consider this goal to be achieved.

5.1 Future Work

Currently our code generator only generates kernels for matrix multiplication
where the matrices A and B are in their original form. To expand its usability,
the code generator should be expanded to generate kernels for the versions
of matrix multiplication where A or B or both are transposed as well.

\(^1\)By undefined error code, we mean an error code that is not specified in the OpenCL
Specification.
The kernels generated by our code generator is at the moment only able to handle matrices of sizes that are multiples of the blocking size employed. This is easily circumvented by padding the matrices with zeroes. Whether this is the best strategy or if some sort of clean-up code should be used instead is something that needs further investigation.

Matrix multiplication is only one of many functions in BLAS. We believe that it is important to have a BLAS library in OpenCL that achieves good performance on GPUs from multiple vendors is important to ensure that OpenCL becomes the preferred framework for programming GPUs and is not put in the shadow of native, vendor specific alternatives like CUDA. Therefore, expansion of the auto-tuning framework to generate and find optimal kernels for other BLAS routines is also warranted.
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We will here present the source code of the kernels generated for the different GPUs. Interestingly enough, the auto-tuning framework chose the same kernel for both the AMD HD5750 and the AMD HD5870. The source code for the AMD GPUs are presented in A.1 and the source code for the NVIDIA GPU is presented in A.2. The rest of the source code for the auto-tuning framework is available upon request.

```c
__kernel __attribute__((reqd_work_group_size(16, 4, 1)))
void gemm(__global float *a, __global float *b,
          __global float *c,
          int m, int n, int k,
          float alpha, float beta)
{
    const int bidx = get_group_id(0);
    const int bidy = get_group_id(1);
    const int idx = get_local_id(0);
    const int idy = get_local_id(1);
    a += (bidy * 16 + idy) * k + idx;
    b += bidx * 64 + idx + idy * 16;
    c += bidx * 64 + bidy * 16 * n + idx + idy * 16;
}
```
__global float *a_end = a + k;
__local float block_a[16][16 + 1];
float c_reg[16] = {0.0f, 0.0f, 0.0f, 0.0f, 0.0f,
                   0.0f, 0.0f, 0.0f, 0.0f, 0.0f,
                   0.0f, 0.0f, 0.0f, 0.0f, 0.0f};
do {
    float b_reg[4] = {b[0 * n], b[1 * n], b[2 * n],
                       b[3 * n]};
    block_a[idy + 0 * 4][idx] = a[0 * 4 * k];
    block_a[idy + 1 * 4][idx] = a[1 * 4 * k];
    block_a[idy + 2 * 4][idx] = a[2 * 4 * k];
    block_a[idy + 3 * 4][idx] = a[3 * 4 * k];
    barrier(CLK_LOCAL_MEM_FENCE);
    b += 4 * n;
    c_reg[0] += block_a[0][0] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[1] += block_a[0][1] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[2] += block_a[0][2] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[3] += block_a[0][3] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[4] += block_a[0][4] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[5] += block_a[0][5] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[6] += block_a[0][6] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[7] += block_a[0][7] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[8] += block_a[0][8] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[9] += block_a[0][9] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[10] += block_a[0][10] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[11] += block_a[0][11] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[12] += block_a[0][12] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[13] += block_a[0][13] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[14] += block_a[0][14] * b_reg[0];
    c_reg[15] += block_a[0][15] * b_reg[0];
    b_reg[0] = b[0 * n];
    c_reg[0] += block_a[1][0] * b_reg[1];
    c_reg[1] += block_a[1][1] * b_reg[1];
43 c_reg[2] += block_a[1][2] * b_reg[1];
44 c_reg[3] += block_a[1][3] * b_reg[1];
46 c_reg[5] += block_a[1][5] * b_reg[1];
49 c_reg[8] += block_a[1][8] * b_reg[1];
50 c_reg[9] += block_a[1][9] * b_reg[1];
51 c_reg[10] += block_a[1][10] * b_reg[1];
53 c_reg[12] += block_a[1][12] * b_reg[1];
54 c_reg[13] += block_a[1][13] * b_reg[1];
55 c_reg[14] += block_a[1][14] * b_reg[1];
57 b_reg[1] = b[1 * n];
58 c_reg[0] += block_a[2][0] * b_reg[2];
74 b_reg[2] = b[2 * n];
75 c_reg[0] += block_a[3][0] * b_reg[3];
c_reg[1] += block_a[3][1] * b_reg[3];
c_reg[8] += block_a[3][8] * b_reg[3];
b_reg[3] = b[3 * n];
b += 4*n;
c_reg[0] += block_a[0][0] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[1] += block_a[0][1] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[2] += block_a[0][2] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[3] += block_a[0][3] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[4] += block_a[0][4] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[5] += block_a[0][5] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[6] += block_a[0][6] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[7] += block_a[0][7] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[8] += block_a[0][8] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[9] += block_a[0][9] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[10] += block_a[0][10] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[11] += block_a[0][11] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[12] += block_a[0][12] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[13] += block_a[0][13] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[14] += block_a[0][14] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[15] += block_a[0][15] * b_reg[0];
b_reg[0] = b[0 * n];
c_reg[0] += block_a[1][0] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[1] += block_a[1][1] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[2] += block_a[1][2] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[3] += block_a[1][3] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[5] += block_a[1][5] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[7] += block_a[1][7] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[8] += block_a[1][8] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[9] += block_a[1][9] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[10] += block_a[1][10] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[12] += block_a[1][12] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[14] += block_a[1][14] * b_reg[1];
b_reg[1] = b[1 * n];
c_reg[0] += block_a[2][0] * b_reg[2];
143 b_reg[2] = b[2 * n];
144 c_reg[0] += block_a[3][0] * b_reg[3];
152 c_reg[8] += block_a[3][8] * b_reg[3];
160 b_reg[3] = b[3 * n];
161 b += 4*n;
162 c_reg[0] += block_a[0][0] * b_reg[0];
163 c_reg[1] += block_a[0][1] * b_reg[0];
164 c_reg[2] += block_a[0][2] * b_reg[0];
165 c_reg[3] += block_a[0][3] * b_reg[0];
166 c_reg[4] += block_a[0][4] * b_reg[0];
167 c_reg[5] += block_a[0][5] * b_reg[0];
168 c_reg[6] += block_a[0][6] * b_reg[0];
169 c_reg[7] += block_a[0][7] * b_reg[0];
170 c_reg[8] += block_a[0][8] * b_reg[0];
171 c_reg[9] += block_a[0][9] * b_reg[0];
172 c_reg[10] += block_a[0][10] * b_reg[0];
173 c_reg[11] += block_a[0][11] * b_reg[0];
174 c_reg[12] += block_a[0][12] * b_reg[0];
175 c_reg[13] += block_a[0][13] * b_reg[0];
176 c_reg[14] += block_a[0][14] * b_reg[0];
177 c_reg[15] += block_a[0][15] * b_reg[0];
178 b_reg[0] = b[0 * n];
179 c_reg[0] += block_a[1][0] * b_reg[1];
180 c_reg[1] += block_a[1][1] * b_reg[1];
182 c_reg[3] += block_a[1][3] * b_reg[1];
184 c_reg[5] += block_a[1][5] * b_reg[1];
186 c_reg[7] += block_a[1][7] * b_reg[1];
187 c_reg[8] += block_a[1][8] * b_reg[1];
188 c_reg[9] += block_a[1][9] * b_reg[1];
189 c_reg[10] += block_a[1][10] * b_reg[1];
191 c_reg[12] += block_a[1][12] * b_reg[1];
193 c_reg[14] += block_a[1][14] * b_reg[1];
195 b_reg[1] = b[1 * n];
196 c_reg[0] += block_a[2][0] * b_reg[2];
212  b_reg[2] = b[2 * n];
213  c_reg[0] += block_a[3][0] * b_reg[3];
221  c_reg[8] += block_a[3][8] * b_reg[3];
229  b_reg[3] = b[3 * n];
230  b += 4*n;
231  c_reg[0] += block_a[0][0] * b_reg[0];
232  c_reg[1] += block_a[0][1] * b_reg[0];
233  c_reg[2] += block_a[0][2] * b_reg[0];
234  c_reg[3] += block_a[0][3] * b_reg[0];
235  c_reg[4] += block_a[0][4] * b_reg[0];
236  c_reg[5] += block_a[0][5] * b_reg[0];
237  c_reg[6] += block_a[0][6] * b_reg[0];
238  c_reg[7] += block_a[0][7] * b_reg[0];
239  c_reg[8] += block_a[0][8] * b_reg[0];
240  c_reg[9] += block_a[0][9] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[10] += block_a[0][10] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[11] += block_a[0][11] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[12] += block_a[0][12] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[13] += block_a[0][13] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[14] += block_a[0][14] * b_reg[0];
c_reg[15] += block_a[0][15] * b_reg[0];
b_reg[0] = b[0 * n];
c_reg[0] += block_a[1][0] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[1] += block_a[1][1] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[2] += block_a[1][2] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[3] += block_a[1][3] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[5] += block_a[1][5] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[7] += block_a[1][7] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[8] += block_a[1][8] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[9] += block_a[1][9] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[10] += block_a[1][10] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[12] += block_a[1][12] * b_reg[1];
c_reg[14] += block_a[1][14] * b_reg[1];
b_reg[1] = b[1 * n];
c_reg[0] += block_a[2][0] * b_reg[2];
IX
b_reg[2] = b[2 * n];
c_reg[0] += block_a[3][0] * b_reg[3];
c_reg[1] += block_a[3][1] * b_reg[3];
c_reg[8] += block_a[3][8] * b_reg[3];
b_reg[3] = b[3 * n];
a += 16;
barrier(CLK_LOCAL_MEM_FENCE);
} while (a < a_end);
c[0] = alpha * c_reg[0] + beta * c[0];
c += n;
c[0] = alpha * c_reg[1] + beta * c[0];
c += n;
c[0] = alpha * c_reg[2] + beta * c[0];
Listing A.1: Source code for kernel chosen for AMD GPUs.

```c
__kernel __attribute__((reqd_work_group_size(8 ,
8 , 1)))

XI
```
void gemm(__global float *a, __global float *b,
__global float *c,
int m, int n, int k,
float alpha, float beta)
{
    const int bidx = get_group_id(0);
    const int bidy = get_group_id(1);
    const int idx = get_local_id(0);
    const int idy = get_local_id(1);
    a += (bidy * 8 + idy) * k;
    b += bidx * 8 + idx;
    c += (bidy * 8 + idy) * n + bidx * 8 + idx;
    __global float *a_end = a + k;
    float a_reg[2];
    float b_reg[2];
    float c_reg[1] = {0.0};
    do {
        b_reg[0] = b[0 * n];
        b_reg[1] = b[1 * n];
        a_reg[0] = a[0 * 1 * k + 0];
        a_reg[1] = a[0 * 1 * k + 1];
        c_reg[0] += a_reg[0] * b_reg[0];
        c_reg[0] += a_reg[1] * b_reg[1];
        a += 2;
        b += 2 * n;
    } while (a < a_end);
    c[0] = alpha * c_reg[0] + beta * c[0];
    c += 1 * n;
}