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Scalability problem

Sweep3D: a solver for the 3-D, time-independent, particle transport equation on an orthogonal mesh.

Figure from: Jeffrey S. Vetter and Andy Yoo. An Empirical Performance Evaluation of Scalable Scientific Applications. Supercomputing 2002.

Communication performance becomes increasingly important as applications scale up (in the figure weak scaling is used).

Our approach to improve communication performance

- Adapt applications communication structure and behavior.
- Requires:
  - A system for configuring and mapping the application to the given cluster topology and architecture (PATHS).
  - A system for collecting communication behavior data (EventSpace).
  - An approach for analyzing and finding communication behavior problems (EventSpace & work in progress).
  - An approach for reconfiguring the communication behavior (work in progress).
A simple *communication path* specified using PATHS

- Specify how threads communicate and synchronize.
- Specify what is *computed* where.
- Specify what is *stored* where.

Clusters used
EventSpace architecture

A parallel applications is configured using PATHS. Multiple consumers observe collected data through event scopes providing different views of the communication behavior.

An event scope

In the figure, the most computing intensive parts of the event scope path are mapped to the node with most available CPU. Also more data is collected on the node with most available memory.
**EventSpace perturbation experiments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application/benchmark</th>
<th>Event collectors (slowdown)</th>
<th>Event scopes (slowdown)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind-tunnel</td>
<td>0.99 – 1.01</td>
<td>1.00 - 1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ping-pong</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.09 (total 1.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global reduction Benchmark</td>
<td>1.04 – 1.14</td>
<td>Work in progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Case study: Wind-tunnel**

The wind-tunnel application is implemented using data parallelism, and is configured and mapped to the available nodes.
Wind-tunnel paths with barrier: 6412 * 5499 pixels.

A view showing when a thread communicates (red) and computes (green). There is one horizontal line per thread. Above: 4 threads per CPU. Below: 1 thread per CPU.
Collective communication performance analysis

- Low-level analysis.
- Large amounts of data.
- Requires system wide analysis?
- Work in progress.

Different EventSpace views of a collective communication tree mapped to a cluster.

Event scope performance experiments (using wind-tunnel)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>View</th>
<th>View size</th>
<th>Observe rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communication-computation</td>
<td>10080 bytes</td>
<td>8.4 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thread (col. op.)</td>
<td>5040 bytes</td>
<td>161 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrival and departure (col. op.)</td>
<td>576 bytes</td>
<td>3.3 Hz (Python impl.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single wrapper</td>
<td>36 bytes</td>
<td>2000 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Node</td>
<td>10260 bytes</td>
<td>4.2 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster</td>
<td>40824 bytes</td>
<td>2.2 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adding spin-wait to communication operations

- Can we add spinning to communication paths to improve performance?
  - Achieve coscheduling?
  - Decrease synchronization conflicts?
  - ‘Shake-up’ global communication behavior leading to performance problems?
- Work in progress (presently not successful).

Spin for coscheduling (not shown).

Future work: adaptable collective communication

- Can we build a system that:
  - Allows run-time reconfiguration of collective communication paths.
  - And, does not add a (large) performance penalty for collective operations.

- An article about the EventSpace system will appear at the EuroPar 2003 conference.
- An article about using EventSpace for performance analysis of collective operations is submitted to Performance Tools 2003.
- The EventSpace views were visualized using a display wall at the NORSIGD Seminar in Computer Graphics 2003 (Fornebu).